

**PATENT** 

## DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION (FOR INTEL CORPORATION PATENT APPLICATIONS)

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next\_to my name.

I believe I am the original, first, and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first, and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled STATUS INDICATORS FOR FLASH MEMORY

the specification of which

	is attached hereto.	
_XX	was filed on February 27, 1997	as
	United States Application Number 08/814,928	
	or PCT International Application Number	
	and was amended on	
	(if applicable)	

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claim(s), as amended by any amendment referred to above. I do not know and do not believe that the claimed invention was ever known or used in the United States of America before my invention thereof, or patented or described in any printed publication in any country before my invention thereof or more than one year prior to this application, that the same was not in public use or on sale in the United States of America more than one year prior to this application, and that the invention has not been patented or made the subject of an inventor's certificate issued before the date of this application in any country foreign to the United States of America on an application filed by me or my legal representatives or assigns more than twelve months (for a utility patent application) or six months (for a design patent application) prior to this application.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, Section 119(a)-(d), of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

INTEL CORPORATION Rev. 12/11/96 (D3 INTEL) cak

Name of Person Mailing Correspondence

White Bignature

REG #3141

Regust 27, 1997

Prior Foreign Application(s)			Priori <u>Clain</u>	
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No No
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No
I hereby claim the benefit States provisional applic	t under title 35, United ation(s) listed below	States Code, Section 119	(e) of an	y United
(Application Number)	Filing Date			
(Application Number)	Filing Date			
States application(s) listed of this application is not of provided by the first para acknowledge the duty to opatentability as defined in	d below and, insofar as disclosed in the prior U graph of Title 35, United disclose all information Title 37, Code of Fedenthe filing date of the parts.	States Code, Section 120 the subject matter of each inted States application in ed States Code, Section 1 known to me to be material Regulations, Section 1 prior application and the na	th of the the mar 12, I al to 1.56 whice	claims nner ch
(Application Number)	Filing Date	(Status patented pending	i, , abando	oned)
(Application Number)	Filing Date	(Status patented pending	i, , abando	oned)

I hereby appoint Aloysius T. C. AuYeung, Reg. No. 35,432; William Thomas Babbitt, Reg. No. 39,591; Jordan Michael Becker, Reg. No. 39,602; Bradley J. Bereznak, Reg. No. 33,474; Michael A. Bernadicou, Reg. No. 35,934; Roger W. Blakely, Jr., Reg. No. 25,831; Gregory D. Caldwell, Reg. No. 39,926; Kent M. Chen, Reg. No. 39,630; Lawrence M. Cho, Reg. No. 39,942; Thomas M. Coester, Reg. No. 39,637; Roland B. Cortes, Reg. No. 39,152; William Donald Davis, Reg. No. 38,428; Daniel M. De Vos, Reg. No. 37,813; Karen L. Feisthamel, Reg. No. 40,264; David R. Halvorson, Reg. No. 33,395; Eric Ho. Reg. No. 39,711; George W Hoover II, Reg. No. 32,992; Eric S. Hyman, Reg. No. 30,139; Dag H. Johansen, Reg. No. 36,172; Stephen L. King, Reg. No. 19,180; Dolly M. Lee, Reg. No. 39,742; Michael J. Mallie, Reg. No. 36,591; Kimberley G. Nobles, Reg. No. 38,255; Ronald W. Reagin, Reg. No. 20,340; James H. Salter, Reg. No. 35,668; William W. Schaal, Reg. No. 39,018; James C. Scheller, Reg. No. 31,195; Maria McCormack Sobrino, Reg. No. 31,639; Stanley W. Sokoloff, Reg. No. 25,128; Allan T. Sponseller, Reg. No. 38,318; Steven R. Sponseller, Reg. No. 39,384; David R. Stevens, Reg. No. 38,626; Edwin H. Taylor, Reg. No. 25,129; Lester J. Vincent, Reg. No. 31,460; John Patrick Ward, Reg. No. 40,216; Ben J. Yorks, Reg. No. 33,609; and Norman Zafman, Reg. No. 26,250; my attorneys; and Gary B. Goates, Reg. No. 35,159; Michael Anthony DeSanctis, Reg. No. 39.957: Charles E. Shemwell, Reg. No. 40,171; Edwin A. Sloane, Reg. No. 34,728; and Judith A. Szepesi, Reg. No. 39,393; my patent agents, of BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP, with offices located at 12400 Wilshire Boulevard, 7th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90025, telephone (310) 207-3800, and Joseph R. Bond, Reg. No. 36,458; Richard C. Calderwood, Reg. No. 35,468; Sean Fitzgerald, Reg. No. 32,027; James E. Jacobson, Jr., Reg. No. 31,626; Naomi Obinata, Reg. No. 39,320; Thomas C. Reynolds, Reg. No. 32,488; Howard A. Skaist, Reg. No. 36,008; and Raymond J. Werner, Reg. No. 34,752; my patent attorneys, of INTEL CORPORATION with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith.

Send correspondence to <u>Lester J. Vincent</u>	, BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR &
(Name of Attorney or	Agent)
ZAFMAN LLP, 12400 Wilshire Boulevard, 7th	Floor, Los Angeles, California 90025 and
direct telephone calls to Lester J. Vincent	, (408) 720-8598.
(Name of Attorney or Ager	nt)

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full Name of Sole/First Inventor Vishram P. Dalwi				
Inventor's Signature	Date 8/10/97			
Residence Folsom, California	Citizenship India			
(City, State)	(Country)			
Post Office Address 1208 Halidon Way Folsom, California 95630				
Full Name of Second/Joint Inventor Rodney R. Rozn				
Inventor's Signature Lady & Logn	Date 8/18/97			
Residence Placerville, California (City, State)	Citizenship <u>U.S.A.</u>			
(City, State)	(Country)			
Post Office Address 2671 Countryside Drive Placerville, California 95667				
Full Name of Third/Joint Inventor				
Inventor's Signature	Date			
Residence	Citizenship			
(City, State)	(Country)			
Post Office Address				
Full Name of Fourth/Joint Inventor				
Inventor's Signature	Date			
Residence	_ Citizenship			
(City, State)	(Country)			
Post Office Address				

INTEL CORPORATION Rev. 12/11/96 (D3 INTEL) cak

Full Name of Fifth/Joint Inventor	
Inventor's Signature	Date
Residence	Citizenship
(City, State)	(Country)
Post Office Address	
Full Name of Sixth/Joint Inventor	
mventor 3 digitature	Date
	Citizenship
(City, State) Post Office Address	(Country)
Full Name of Seventh/Joint Inventor	
Inventor's Signature	Date
Residence	Citizenship
(City, State)	(Country)
Post Office Address	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·



- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served. and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclosure information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclosure all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
  - (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made or record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
  - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
  - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
  - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
  - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
  - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.

INTEL CORPORATION
Rev. 12/11/96 (D3 INTEL) cak